

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1410 Alexandria Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,194	01/22/2004	Chang-Chin Lai	LAIC3028/EM	2335
23364	7590 02/16/2006		EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR			PATEL, FAHD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	A, VA 22314		2194	
			DATE MAILED: 02/16/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/761,194	LAI, CHANG-CHIN
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Fahd Patel	2194
7 Period for F	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHOR WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we preply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, or received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 16(a). In no event, however, may a fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)∐ Th 3)∐ Si	esponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Ja</u> his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This nce this application is in condition for allowan osed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .	action is non-final. ice except for formal mat	· •
Disposition	of Claims		
4a) 5)□ Cl 6)⊠ Cl 7)□ Cl	aim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. ) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application	Papers		
10)⊠ The Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examiner e drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2004 is/are: oplicant may not request that any objection to the opplacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrective oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ of drawing(s) be held in abeya on is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) [ ] . 1.[ 2.[ 3.[	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have beer	Application No I received in this National Stage
		SUPER	received THOMSON THOMSON THOMSON PATENT FOR ANNINER
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of	f References Cited (PTO-892)	4) $\Box$ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of 3) Informati	f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) o(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2194

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-6 are pending in this application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There is no discussion of *how* the claimed invention is to be implemented by the described method. The specification must include technical information specifically relating to how the linking and copying of the shortcut is to occur.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2194

5. Claims 1-3, 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shmueli et al. (hereafter Shmueli).

6. As per claim <u>1</u> Shmueli teaches:

installing an application in a memory device in advance (10, Fig. 1; p. 2, par.

0029);

connecting the memory device to an electronic device prior to use (p. 3, par.

0034);

automatically copying the application as a linked shortcut in an OS of the electronic device (p. 5, par. 0047, 0049);

showing an icon of the shortcut on a screen of the electronic device (86, Fig. 5); and

clicking the icon for opening the application, thereby enabling the electronic device to run the application (p. 5, par. 0049-0050).

- 7. As per claim 2, Shmueli teaches that the memory device is a portable disk having a USB connector so that the memory device is adapted to connect to the electronic device having a USB port by inserting the USB connector into the USB port (Fig. 2A).
- 8. As per claim <u>3</u>, Shmueli teaches that the electronic device is a notebook computer having at least one USB port so that the memory device may connect to the electronic device (p. 2, par. 0027-0028).

Art Unit: 2194

9. As per claim <u>5</u>, Shmueli teaches that the electronic device is a PDA (p. 2, par. 0028).

10. As per claim 6, Shmueli teaches that the memory device is a memory card (Fig. 2B; p. 8, par. 0098).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shmueli, and further in view of Yang et al. (U.S PG Pub 2003/0110371 A1), hereafter Yang.
- 13. As per claim 4, Shmueli teaches that responsive to connecting the memory device to the electronic device, the application automatically copies itself as a linked shortcut in the OS of the electronic device, the icon of the shortcut is shown on the screen of the electronic device, and the electronic device is enabled to run the

Art Unit: 2194

application (see rejection to claim 1 above). Shmueli does not specifically teach an autorun feature.

14. Yang teaches that the application has an embedded autorun (p. 2, par. 0015).

15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the autorun feature from Yang with Shmueli's invention. Both inventions deal with interaction between an electronic device and a memory device. The ability to automatically run the program from a memory device adds to the convenience and ease of use of the invention, which is a valuable motivation (Yang, p. 1, par. 0005; Shmueli, p. 1, par. 0004).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Arner et al. (U.S PG Pub 2001/0047393).
- Harrison et al. (U.S PG Pub 2003/0208573).
- Tarau et al., <u>A Logic Programming Infrastructure for Remote Execution, Mobile</u>
   <u>Code and Agents</u>, Enabling Technologies: Infrastructure for Collaborative
   Enterprises, 1997, pages 106-111.
- Theimer et al., <u>Preemptable remote execution facilities for the V-system</u>, ACM
   Symposium on Operating Systems Principles, Pages: 2 12, Year of
   Publication: 1985.

Art Unit: 2194

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fahd Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-1044. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thompson can be reached on (571) 272-3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**FHP** 

WILLIAM THOMSON
WILLIAM THOMSON
EXAMINEP
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEP